Localization in the Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation: a **'Random First-Order'** transition in the microcanonical ensemble

Giacomo Gradenigo

Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila

In collaboration with: **Stefano Iubini** and **Roberto Livi** (Florence), **Satya N. Majumdar** (Paris).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Helsinki 24th - March - 2021

Summary

(0)

1) Large Deviations and Localization

2) Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE)

- 3) DNLSE: State of the art and the problem of ensembles
- 4) Localization mechanism
- 5) Finite-size effects, negative temperature, participation ratio
- 6) A mixed-order transition, analogies with glasses
- 7) Differences with Many-Body Localization
- 8) Role of dimensionality (none)
- 9) Condensates and black holes
- 10) Conclusions

The 'Linear Statistic' problem

Linear Statistic Problem: probability distribution of a sum of random variables

$$P_N(M) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N dm_i \ p(m_1, \dots, m_N) \ \delta\left(M - \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\right)$$

Simple case: independent identically distributed random variables

$$p(m_1, \dots, m_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(m_i)$$
 $\langle m \rangle < \infty$ Finite mean $\langle m^2 \rangle < \infty$ Finite variance

Central Limit Theorem

 $|M - N\langle m \rangle| \sim N$



Rate function

Large Deviations

(1)

0

'Linear Statistic' and Large Deviations

Linear Statistic Problem: probability distribution of a sum of random variables

$$P_N(M) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N dm_i \ p(m_1, \dots, m_N) \ \delta\left(M - \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\right)$$

Simple case: independent identically distributed random variables

$$p(m_1, \dots, m_N) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(m_i) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \langle m \rangle < \infty & \text{Finite mean} \\ \langle m^2 \rangle < \infty & \text{Finite variance} \end{array}$$
Fat tailed distribution
$$e^{-m} < p(m) < \frac{1}{m^2} \qquad \longrightarrow \text{Localization}$$

$$|M - N\langle m \rangle| \sim N \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad P_N(M) \sim p(M)$$
Large Deviations
$$Whele sum is taken$$

Large Deviations

Whole sum is taken up by a single variable

(2)

'Linear Statistic' and Large Deviations

Mass transport model: stationary partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}_N(M) = \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^N dm_i \prod_{i=1}^N p(m_i) \,\delta\left(M - \sum_{i=1}^N m_i\right)$$

Fat tailed distribution	$e^{-m} < p(m) < \frac{1}{m^2}$	<i>Localization</i>
-------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------

Nature of the condensate in mass transport models', Majumdar, Evans, Zia, *PRL* **94**, 180601 (2006)

Partition function

 $\mathcal{Z}_N(M) \sim p(M)$

Whole sum is taken up by a single variable



Participation Ratio

$$Y_2(M) = \left\langle \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i\right)^2} \right\rangle$$

 $M < N\langle m \rangle \implies Y_2(M) \sim 1/N$ $M > N\langle m \rangle \implies Y_2(M) = \mathcal{O}(1)$

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE)

Inspiration

'A First-Order Dynamical Transition for a Driven Run-and-Tumble particle' (G. Gradenigo, S. N Majumdar, JSTAT, **2019**)

(3)

$$\mathcal{Z}_N\left(z = \frac{M - N\langle m \rangle}{N^{\alpha}}\right) \sim e^{-N\mathcal{I}(\langle m \rangle) - N^{1-\alpha}\mathcal{C}(z)} \qquad \alpha < 1$$

Key observation: the precise characterization of the transition comes from subleading corrections to the rate function.

'Localization in Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation'

PHENOMENON
Condensate wavefunction
localized at high enegies
(numerical evidences)
$$|\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E < E_c \qquad |\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E > E_c$$

$$i$$

'Condensation transition and ensemble inequivalence in the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation', G. Gradenigo, S. Iubini, R. Livi, S. N Majumdar, *EPJ-E* 44, 1-6 (2021)

'Localization transition in the discrete nonlinear Scrdinger equation: ensembles inequivalence and negative temperatures', G. Gradenigo, S. Iubini, R. Livi, S. N Majumdar, *J. Stat. Mech.* 023201 (2021)

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽⁴⁾ A semiclassical Approximation

$$\hat{H} = \int d^3x \; \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}} \right] \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_s}{2m} \int d^3x \; \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x})$$

'Discrete Breathers in Bose-Einstein Condensates', Franzosi, Livi, Oppo, Politi, Nonlinearity. 24, R89 (2011)

Second-quantization Hamiltonian of interacting bosons condensate $V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ Repulsive contact interactions

Bogoliubov approximation $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{x})$ $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ Condensate wave-function (c-number) $\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ Deviation opeartor

Expand the Hamiltonian up to second order in powers of $\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}), \ \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ (small quantum fluctuations around the mean-field solution)

$$\hat{H} = K_0 + \hat{K}_1 + \hat{K}_2 + \dots \qquad \hat{K}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\hat{\varphi}) \qquad \hat{K}_2 = \mathcal{O}(\hat{\varphi}^2)$$

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽⁵⁾ A semiclassical Approximation

$$\hat{K}_1 = 0 \quad \overleftarrow{\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x})\right]}\Psi(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\nu}{2}|\Psi(\mathbf{x})|^2\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Gross-Pitaevskii Equation: non-linear equation for the 'order parameter' of a quantum transition (semiclassical approximation)

Bogoliubov approximation $\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) + \hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{x})$ $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ Condensate wave-function (c-number) $\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ Deviation opeartor

Expand the Hamiltonian up to second order in powers of $\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}), \ \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x})$ (small quantum fluctuations around the mean-field solution)

$$\hat{H} = K_0 + \hat{K}_1 + \hat{K}_2 + \dots \qquad \hat{K}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\hat{\varphi}) \qquad \hat{K}_2 = \mathcal{O}(\hat{\varphi}^2)$$

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽⁶⁾ A semiclassical Approximation

$$\hat{K}_1 = 0 \quad \overleftarrow{\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x})\right]}\Psi(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\nu}{2}|\Psi(\mathbf{x})|^2\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Gross-Pitaevskii Equation: non-linear equation for the 'order parameter' of a quantum transition (semiclassical approximation)

$$V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\hbar^2 \omega^2}{4E_r} \sin^2(k_{\text{L}}x) + \frac{m\Omega^2}{2} (y^2 + z^2) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Effectively on a} \\ \text{1-dimensional lattice} \end{array}$$

$$Periodic \ \text{modulation - x} \qquad \text{Harmonic traps } (y,z)\text{-plane} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Harmonic traps } (y,z)\text{-plane} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hamiltonian system} \\ \text{on a lattice} \qquad \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Psi_i^* \Psi_{i+1} + \Psi_{i+1}^* \Psi_i + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\Psi_i|^2 \qquad \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Canonical conjugate} \\ \text{variables (classical)} \qquad \left\{ \Psi_i^*, \Psi_j \right\} = i \ \delta_{ij} / \hbar \qquad i \dot{\Psi}_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \Psi_i^*} \\ \text{Poisson parentheses} \end{array}$$

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽⁷⁾ A semiclassical Approximation

$$\hat{K}_1 = 0 \quad \overleftarrow{\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{x})\right]}\Psi(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\nu}{2}|\Psi(\mathbf{x})|^2\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Gross-Pitaevskii Equation: non-linear equation for the 'order parameter' of a quantum transition (semiclassical approximation)

Hamiltonian system on a lattice

Canonical conjugate variables

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Psi_i^* \Psi_{i+1} + \Psi_{i+1}^* \Psi_i + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\Psi_i|^2$$
$$\{\Psi_i^*, \Psi_j\} = i \ \delta_{ij}/\hbar \qquad i\dot{\Psi}_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \Psi_i^*}$$

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽⁸⁾

Condensate wave-function (order parameter) $\langle \hat{\psi} \rangle = \psi(x_i, t) = \psi_i(t)$

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \psi_i^*} = -(\psi_{i+1} + \psi_{i-1}) - \nu |\psi_i|^2 \psi_i$$



PHENOMENON Condensate wavefunction localized at high enegies (numerical evidences) $|\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E < E_c \qquad |\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E > E_c$ i

WHICH KIND OF PHASE TRANSITION ? 2) WHICH STATISTICAL ENSEMBLE?
 LOCALIZATION COMES FROM INTEGRABILITY? (N integrals of motion)
 IS DISORDER NECESSARY FOR LOCALIZATION?

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽⁹⁾

Condensate wave-function (order parameter) $\langle \hat{\psi} \rangle = \psi(x_i, t) = \psi_i(t)$

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \psi_i^*} = -(\psi_{i+1} + \psi_{i-1}) - \nu |\psi_i|^2 \psi_i$$

ENERGY (conserved)	PARTICLES NUMBER (conserved)	
$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\psi_i^* \psi_{i+1} + \psi_i \psi_{i+1}^*) + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i ^4$	$A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i ^2$	

PHENOMENON Condensate wavefunction localized at high enegies

(numerical evidences)

$$|\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E < E_c \qquad |\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E > E_c$$

The 'Fundamental Ensemble' : MICROCANONICAL

Microcanonical Partition function

$$\Omega_N(A, E) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\psi_i \,\,\delta(A - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^2) \,\,\delta\left(E - \mathcal{H}[\psi_i^*, \psi_i]\right)$$

Particle number conservation Energy conservation

DNLSE theory: state of the art

'Statistical Mechanics of a Discrete Non-Linear System',

K.O. Rasmussen, T. Cretegny, P.G. Kevridis, N. Gronbech-Jensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3740 (2000)

Microcanonical
$$\mathcal{Z}_{N}(\mu,\beta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dA \ dE \ e^{-\beta E - \mu A} \ \Omega_{N}(A, E)$$

Grand Canonical: exact solution with trasfer matrix techniques!
Transition line at infinite temperature: $\beta = 0$
 $\varepsilon = 2 \ a^{2}$
PROBLEM
Many numerical evidences that the localized
phase has negative temperature, T<0
'Discrete Breathers and Negative-Temperature States',
S. lubini, R. Franzosi, R. Livi, G.-L. Oppo, A. Politi,
New J. Phys. 15, 023032 (2013)
HOW CAN β <0 BE CONSISTENT WITH $e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}}$? \longrightarrow IT CANNOT!

(10)

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLSE) ⁽¹¹⁾

Condensate wave-function (order parameter) $\langle \hat{\psi} \rangle = \psi(x_i, t) = \psi_i(t)$

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \psi_i^*} = -(\psi_{i+1} + \psi_{i-1}) - \nu |\psi_i|^2 \psi_i$$

ENERGY (conserved)	PARTICLES NUMBER (conserved)
$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\psi_i^* \psi_{i+1} + \psi_i \psi_{i+1}^*) + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i^* \psi_{i+1} + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* + \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* + \frac{\psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* + \frac{\psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi_i^* + \frac{\psi_i^* \psi_i^* \psi$	$ \psi_i ^4 \qquad \qquad A = \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_i ^2$

PHENOMENON Condensate wavefunction localize at high enegies (numerical evidences)

$$|\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E < E_c \qquad |\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E > E_c$$

ONLY THE MICROCANONICAL IS CORRECT: GO FOR IT!

$$\Omega_N(A, E) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\psi_i \,\,\delta\left(A - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^2\right) \,\,\delta\left(E - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^4\right)$$

<u>Neglect hopping terms</u> (random-phase argument)

Particle number conservation

Energy conservation

ENSEMBLES IN-EQUIVALENCE

$$\mathcal{Z}_{N}(\mu,\beta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dA \ dE \ e^{-\beta E - \mu A} \ \Omega_{N}(A,E) = [z(\mu,\beta)]^{N}$$
Grand-Canonical
Laplace Transform
$$\mathcal{L}^{\mu_{0}-i\infty} \qquad \mathcal{L}^{\beta_{0}-i\infty}$$

$$\Omega_N(A,E) = \int_{\mu_0+i\infty}^{\mu_0-i\infty} d\mu \int_{\beta_0-i\infty}^{\beta_0-i\infty} d\beta \ e^{\mu A + \beta E + N\log z(\mu,\beta)} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Inverse Laplace} \\ \text{Transform} \end{array}$$

ENSEMBLES are equivalent when saddle-points equations have real solutions



(12)

ENSEMBLES IN-EQUIVALENCE

$$\mathcal{Z}_{N}(\mu,\beta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dA \ dE \ e^{-\beta E - \mu A} \ \Omega_{N}(A,E) = [z(\mu,\beta)]^{N}$$
Grand-Canonical
Laplace Transform
$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu} = i\infty \qquad e^{\beta\nu} = i\infty$$

$$\Omega_N(A,E) = \int_{\mu_0+i\infty}^{\mu_0-i\infty} d\mu \int_{\beta_0-i\infty}^{\beta_0-i\infty} d\beta \ e^{\mu A + \beta E + N\log z(\mu,\beta)} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Inverse Laplace} \\ \text{Transform} \end{array}$$

ENSEMBLES are equivalent when saddle-points equations have real solutions

Can I find real
$$\beta$$
 and μ for
ANY choice of E and A?

$$\frac{E}{N} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \log[z(\mu, \beta)]$$

$$z(\mu, \beta) = \frac{\mu\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \exp\left(\frac{\mu^2}{4\beta}\right) \operatorname{Erfc}\left(\frac{\mu}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right)$$

$$\lim(\beta)$$
Analiticity
properties of
 $z(\mu, \beta)$

$$Re(\beta)$$

(13)

SKETCHY MECHANISM OF LOCALIZATION ⁽¹⁴⁾

$$\mathcal{Z}_{N}(\mu,\beta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dA \ dE \ e^{-\beta E - \mu A} \ \Omega_{N}(A,E) = [z(\mu,\beta)]^{N}$$
Grand-Canonical
Laplace Transform

$$\Omega_N(A,E) = \int_{\mu_0+i\infty}^{\mu_0-i\infty} d\mu \int_{\beta_0-i\infty}^{\beta_0-i\infty} d\beta \ e^{\mu A + \beta E + N\log z(\mu,\beta)} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Inverse Laplace} \\ \text{Transform} \end{array}$$

ENSEMBLES are equivalent when saddle-points equations have real solutions

$$E > E_{\rm th}$$

1) Cannot reach such energy by equal sharing among d.o.f.

2) The amount E_{th} is identically distributed among the degrees of freedom (infinite temperature background)

3) Excess energy is put into the localized phase

$$|\psi_i|^2 \qquad \Delta E = E - E_{\rm th}$$



THE LARGE DEVIATIONS APPROACH

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Microcanonical} \\ \textbf{Ensemble} \end{array} \quad \Omega_N(A, E) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\psi_i \ \delta\left(A - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^2\right) \ \delta\left(E - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^4\right) \end{array}$$

Release constraint on
'particle number'
$$\Omega_N(\mu, E) = \int \prod_{i=1}^n d\psi_i \ e^{-\mu \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^2} \delta\left(E - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^4\right)$$

Change of
variables
$$\Omega_N(\mu, E) \approx \int \prod_{i=1}^n \left[d\varepsilon_i \ \frac{e^{-\mu\sqrt{\varepsilon_i}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_i}} \right] \delta \left(E - \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i \right)$$

1) $\psi = re^{i\phi}$ Partition Probability distribution of
2) $r_i^4 = \varepsilon_i$ Function Function Probability distribution sum

$$e^{-\varepsilon_i} < \frac{e^{-\mu\sqrt{\varepsilon_i}}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_i}} < \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^2}$$
 \longrightarrow Localization $E > N\langle \varepsilon \rangle_{\mu} = E_{\rm th}$

Slow decay of the energy per site probability distribution function

MATCHING ARGUMENT FOR LOCALIZATION ⁽¹⁶⁾

Gaussian regime

$$-E_{th} \sim \sqrt{N}$$

$$\Omega_N(A, E) \approx e^{-\frac{(E-E_{th})^2}{2\sigma^2 N}}$$

E

Extreme large deviations

$$E - E_{th} \sim N$$

$$\Omega_N(A, E) \approx e^{-\sqrt{E - E_{th}}}$$

Matching regime (you set the scale)

$$\frac{E - E_{th}}{N^{2/3}} = \zeta \sim 1$$

Zoom in the complex plane around the origin to propertly account for the cut contribution

$$\int_{\beta_0 - i\infty}^{\beta_0 + i\infty} d\beta \ e^{\beta E + N \log[z(\beta, \mu)]}$$

Expand this guy at the origin

0



MATCHING ARGUMENT FOR LOCALIZATION (17)

Gaussian
regime
$$E - E_{th} \sim \sqrt{N}$$

 $\Omega_N(A, E) \approx e^{-\frac{(E - E_{th})^2}{2\sigma^2 N}}$
 $Matching regime (you set the scale) \qquad \frac{E - E_{th}}{N^{2/3}} = \zeta \sim 1$
 $\beta = N^{1/3}\beta \sim 1$
 $\int_{\beta_0 - i\infty}^{\beta_0 + i\infty} d\beta \ e^{\beta E + N \log[z(\beta, \mu)]} = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi N}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(E - E_{th})^2}{2\sigma^2 N}\right\} + \underline{C}(E)$
Non-analiticity at the cut

(18) MATCHING ARGUMENT FOR LOCALIZATION

MATCHING ARGUMENT FOR LOCALIZATION (19



(19)

THE MAIN RESULT: MICROCANONICAL ENTROPY ⁽²⁰⁾

Microcanonical Entropy

$$S_N(A, E) = k \log[\Omega_N(A, E)]$$

The first, the one ... and the ONLY





$$E > E_{\rm th}$$

CONDENSATE ENTROPY (SUBEXTENSIVE)

$$S_N(A, E) = \Sigma_0(A) + \Sigma_1(E, A)$$

Background Entropy (energy indipendent)

 $\Sigma_0(A) = N[1 + \log(\pi a)]$



 $\Delta E = E - E_{\rm th}$

THE MAIN RESULT: MICROCANONICAL ENTROPY ⁽²¹⁾

Microcanonical Entropy

$$S_N(A, E) = k \log[\Omega_N(A, E)]$$

The first, the one ... and the ONLY



$$\begin{split} & \text{Three regimes} \\ \Sigma_1(E,A) = \begin{cases} -\frac{N}{2\sigma^2}(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th})^2 & \text{Gaussian} & \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th} \sim 1/\sqrt{N} \\ -N^{1/3}\Psi(\zeta) & \text{Matching} & \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th} \sim 1/N^{1/3} \\ -N^{1/2}\sqrt{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th}} & \text{Large Deviations} & \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th} \sim 1 \\ \varepsilon_{\rm th} = 2 \ a^2 & \zeta = N^{1/3}(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th}) \end{split}$$

THE MAIN RESULT: MICROCANONICAL ENTROPY ⁽²²⁾

40

е

localized

delocalized

$$\Psi'(\zeta_c) = \text{jump}$$

$$\zeta_c = N^{1/3}(\varepsilon_c - \varepsilon_{th})$$

$$\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_{th} + \frac{\zeta_c}{N^{1/3}}$$
Finite-size correction to
the critical line

CONDENSATE ENTROPY

$$\varepsilon_{\rm th} = 2 a^2 \quad \zeta = N^{1/3} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\rm th})$$

NEGATIVE TEMPERATURE – SUBEXTENSIVE ENTROPY (23)

$$\Sigma_{1}(E, A) = \begin{cases} -\frac{N}{2\sigma^{2}}(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th})^{2} & \text{Gaussian} & \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th} \sim 1/\sqrt{N} \\ -N^{1/2}\sqrt{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th}} & \text{Large Deviations} & \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th} \sim 1 \\ \varepsilon_{th} = 2 a^{2} & \zeta = N^{1/3}(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th}) & T = N^{1/2}\sqrt{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th}} \end{cases}$$

PROBING THE NEGATIVE TEMPEATURE (2)

0

0.2



Entropy (2017)

 $\varepsilon_{\rm th} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_c$ = Uninteresting ? *Not really...* $\frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{T} < 0$ $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_{\rm th}$ **NEGATIVE TEMPERATURE** (a) 60 20 40 n/N^{1/2} N = 511N = 1023 $-\cdot N = 2047$ N = 4095

0.6

0.4

n/N

0.8

(24)

ORDER PARAMETER: PARTICIPATION RATIO (25)



$$\Psi'(\zeta_c) = \text{jump}$$

$$\zeta_c = N^{1/3}(\varepsilon_c - \varepsilon_{th})$$

$$\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_{th} + \frac{\zeta_c}{N^{1/3}}$$

Finite-size correction to
the critical line

(26)**ORDER PARAMETER: PARTICIPATION RATIO**

$$\Psi'(\zeta_c) = \operatorname{jump}$$

Order Parameter = Participation Ratio

 $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_c \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_N = c > 0$ $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_N \sim 1/N$ $\mathcal{P}_{N} = \left\langle \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i}\right)^{2}} \right\rangle_{micro}$ 'Pseudo-condensate' Localization $\varepsilon_{th} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_c \qquad \varepsilon > \varepsilon_c$ $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{th}$ $\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathcal{P}_N$ 1/N1/N \mathcal{C} > 0< 0< 0 $T^{-1} = \partial S / \partial E$

Ensembles inequivalence

Consistent with non-analyticity of Entropy

(26)**ORDER PARAMETER: PARTICIPATION RATIO**

$$\Psi'(\zeta_c) = \operatorname{jump}$$

Order Parameter = Participation Ratio

 $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_c \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_N = c > 0$ $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_N \sim 1/N$ $\mathcal{P}_{N} = \left\langle \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i}\right)^{2}} \right\rangle_{micro}$ 'Pseudo-condensate' Localization $\varepsilon_{th} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_c \qquad \varepsilon > \varepsilon_c$ $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{th}$ $\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathcal{P}_N$ 1/N1/N \mathcal{C} > 0< 0< 0 $T^{-1} = \partial S / \partial E$ **Ergodicity breaking**?

Consistent with non-analyticity of Entropy

ORDER PARAMETER: PARTICIPATION RATIO (27)

$$\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_{th} + \frac{\zeta_c}{N^{1/3}}$$

In the thermodynamic limit the two values coincide and the order parameter is continuous at the transition **Consistent with non-analyticity of Entropy**

$$\varepsilon > \varepsilon_c \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_N = (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{th})^2 / \varepsilon^2$$
$$\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c \implies \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_N \sim 1/N$$

transi	tion	'Pseudo-condensate'	Localization
	$\varepsilon < \varepsilon_{th}$	$\varepsilon_{th} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_c$	$\varepsilon > \varepsilon_c$
$\lim_{N\to\infty}\mathcal{P}_N$	1/N	1/N	С
$T^{-1} = \partial S / \partial I$	E > 0	< 0	< 0
		<u>ر</u>	

Ergodicity breaking ?

ORDER PARAMETER: PARTICIPATION RATIO



Ergodicity breaking ?

(28)

FINALLY SOME FIGURES!



A VERY WELL KNOWN MIXED ORDER TRANSITION: ⁽³⁰⁾ RANDOM FIRST-ORDER or IDEAL GLASS TRANSITION

7 7

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{P-spin model} & \mathcal{H} = -\sum_{ijkl} J_{ijkl} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l & \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^2 = N \\ \\ \text{\#-interactions} = N^4 & J_{ijkl} = \text{ iid Gaussian variates} & \langle J^2 \rangle \sim N^{-3} \end{array}$$

GLASS TRANSITION = ERGODICITY BREAKING TRANSITION

IMPORTANT SIMILARITIES WITH DNLS

Locally unbounded continuous variables
 Non-linear interactions
 Global spherical constraint

... NOT SHARED BY MODELS LIKE SHERRINGTON-KIRKPATRICK

Discrete spinsLinear interactions

A VERY WELL KNOWN MIXED ORDER TRANSITION: ⁽³¹⁾ RANDOM FIRST-ORDER or IDEAL GLASS TRANSITION

P-spin model
$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{ijkl} J_{ijkl} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \sigma_l$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^2 = N$
#-interactions = N^4 $J_{ijkl} =$ iid Gaussian variates $\langle J^2 \rangle \sim$

GLASS TRANSITION = ERGODICITY BREAKING TRANSITION

FIRST-ORDER FEATURES

Order Parameter: *OVERLAP* **=**

Similarity among two configurations chosen at random in the equilibrium ensemble

λT

$$q^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^{\alpha} \sigma_i^{\beta}$$



 $q \approx 1$ similar



 N^{-3}

$$P(q) = m \ \delta(q - q_0) + (1 - m) \ \delta(q - q_1)$$

Ergodicity Breaking: Parisi's order parameter



(32)

Ergodicity Breaking: Parisi's order parameter

...BUT STILL IS NOT A FIRST-ORDER TRANSITION

NO LATENT HEAT AT THE CRITICAL TEMPERATURE T_K AVERAGE VALUE OF ORDER PARAMETER CONTINUOUS AT THE

- AVERAGE VALUE OF ORDER PARAMETER CONTINUOUS AT THE TRANSITION



Ergodicity Breaking: Parisi's order parameter

RANDOM FIRST-ORDER TRANSITION

- NO LATENT HEAT AT THE CRITICAL TEMPERATURE T_K

- AVERAGE VALUE OF ORDER PARAMETER CONTINUOUS AT THE TRANSITION



(34)

THE MAIN RESULT: MICROCANONICAL ENTROPY ⁽³⁵⁾



- 1) Microcanonical and canonical ensembles are not equivalent
- 2) Localization is a 'random first-order' transition in the microcanonical ensemble
- **3)** Negative temperature ONLY in microcanonical ensemble (zero for $N=\infty$).
- 4) Localized solution has subextensive entropy (area law?, entaglement?)

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLS) ⁽³⁶⁾

Condensate wave-function (order parameter) $\langle \hat{\psi} \rangle = \psi(x_i, t) = \psi_i(t)$

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \psi_i^*} = -(\psi_{i+1} + \psi_{i-1}) - \nu |\psi_i|^2 \psi_i$$

ENERGY (conserved)	PARTICLES NUMBER (conserved)	
$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\psi_i^* \psi_{i+1} + \psi_i \psi_{i+1}^*) + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i ^4$	$A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi_i ^2$	

PHENOMENON Condensate wavefunction localized at high enegies (numerical evidences) $|\psi_i|^2$ $\mathcal{H} = E < E_c$ $|\psi_i|^2$ i

RANDOM FIRST (MIXED) ORDER!MICROCANONICAL1) WHICH KIND OF PHASE TRANSITION ?2) WHICH STATISTICAL ENSEMBLE?

3) LOCALIZATION COMES FROM INTEGRABILITY? (N integrals of motion) NO!

4) IS **DISORDER** NECESSARY FOR LOCALIZATION? **NO!**

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLS) ⁽³⁷⁾

QUITE OFTEN LOCALIZATION IS RELATED TO INTEGRABILITY *'Integrals of motion in the many-body localized phase'*, Valentina Ros, M. Müller, A. Scardicchio, *Nuclear Physics B* **891**, 420-465 (2015)

They compute explicitly the N integrals of motion!

ENERGY (conserved) $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\psi_i^* \psi_{i+1} + \psi_i \psi_{i+1}^*) + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\psi_i|^4 \qquad A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\psi_i|^2$

PHENOMENON $|\psi_i|^2$ Condensate wavefunctionlocalized at high enegies

(numerical evidences)

$$\mathcal{H} = E < E_c \qquad |\psi_i|^2 \qquad \mathcal{H} = E > E_c$$

RANDOM FIRST (MIXED) ORDER!MICROCANONICAL1) WHICH KIND OF PHASE TRANSITION ?2) WHICH STATISTICAL ENSEMBLE?

3) LOCALIZATION COMES FROM INTEGRABILITY? (N integrals of motion) NO!

4) IS **DISORDER** NECESSARY FOR LOCALIZATION? *NO*!

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation (DNLS) ⁽³⁸⁾





STATE of THE ART 1) Localized phase is stable with respect to (weak) non-linearities.

2) Role of disorder in presence of many-body interactions?

3) Does localization survives without disorder?

Many-Body Localization is well understood pertubatively: In jergon: 'a sort of quantum KAM theorem' (B. Altshuler)

OUR WORK (strong coupling regime) We do find localization in absence of disorder! (known numerically)
 NON-LINEAR terms (many-body) are the source of localization! (outcome of the exact calculation)

OUR RESULT IS ROBUST WITH RESPECT TO DIMENSIONALITY

ENERGY (conserved)

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\psi_i^* \psi_{i+1} + \psi_i \psi_{i+1}^*) + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\psi_i|^4 \qquad A = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\psi_i|^2$$

Everything relies upon neglecting the hopping terms at infinite temperature ... very reasonable!

$$\Omega_N(A, E) = \int \prod_{i=1}^N d\psi_i \,\,\delta\left(A - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^2\right) \,\,\delta\left(E - \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i|^4\right)$$

(39)

THE RESULT HOLDS IN ANY DIMENSION (consider 2d for example)



OUR RESULT IS ROBUST WITH RESPECT TO DIMENSIONALITY

Exact results on Many-body Localization: severly tight to one-dimensional systems

SLOW DYNAMICS – ERGODICY BREAKING – LOCALIZATION – QUASI-INTEGRABILITY: Perturbative approaches with results strongly attached to D=1 (consider for instance the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam problem)

QUANTUM DYNAMICS IN D=1 ~ CONFORMAL FIELD THEORIES IN D=2 (INTEGRABLE)

By leaving the perturbative regime and exploiting the non-equivalence of ensembles

THE RESULT HOLDS IN ANY DIMENSION (consider 2d for example)

Localization in the strong coupling regime
 Non-perturbative approach
 Straighforward extension to D > 1

Entropy of the condensate

(40)

$$S_{micro} \sim N^{1/2} = L$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{ij}^{N=L^2} \left(\psi_{ij}^* \psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{ij} \psi_{i+1,j}^* + \psi_{ij}^* \psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{ij} \psi_{i,j+1}^* \right) + \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{ij} |\psi_{ij}|^4$$

Localization and Ensemble Inequivalence (in more 'exotic' systems, just an analogy)

NON-LINEAR FIELD EQUATIONS

Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 0 \qquad \qquad i \frac{\partial\psi_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial\psi_i^*} = -(\psi_{i+1} + \psi_{i-1}) - \nu|\psi_i|^2\psi_i$$

LOCALIZED Schwarzschild SOLUTION (the Breather in the DNLS)

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2MG}{r}\right)dt^{2} - \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2MG}{r}\right)}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\Omega$$



(41)

LOCALIZED SOLUTION PROPERTIES

- It adsorbs any extra amount of energy fed to the system, increasing its mass (like the Breather)
- True curvature singularity in the Black Hole, mass singularity in the DNLS
- Subextensive growth of the Entropy (counting of microstates)

 $S_{\rm Bh} \sim N^{2/3}$

 $V \sim N$

Non-Linear Schrodinger

$$S_{\rm micro} \sim N^{1/2}$$

CONCLUSIONS - PERSPECTIVES (42)

1) We provided the first fully consistent description of the **localization transition** in the Discrete Non-Linear Schrödinger Equation **(DNLS)**

2) Localization in the DNLS can only described within the Microcanonical Ensemble

3) We put in evidence the existence, at large but finite N, of a delocalized (presumably non ergodic) state at negative temperature, the **pseudo-condensate** (relevant for experiments).

Further investigations: multifractal wave function: $I(q) = N \langle |\psi_i|^{2q} \rangle$

4) We clarified that the transition has a mixed first/second order, similarly to the ergodicity breaking transition in glasses (not spin glasses!): Random First-Order transition.
Further investigations: localization in models of glasses (in progress).

5) We clarified a mechanism for localization/ergodicity-breaking in the strong-coupling regime:

- Not related to integrability (only two conserved quantities, perhaps **emergent** integrability?)
- Straighforwad extension to D > 1 (further investigations)
- DNLSE on dense random graph → Talk Next Week Tuesday 30th at 11.15AM

« Localization in the Discrete Non-Linear Schrodinger Equation and the geometric properties of the Microcanonical surface »,

C. Arezzo, F. Balducci, R. Piergallini, A. Scardicchio, C. Vanoni, arXiv:2102.10298

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION